

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,455	02/22/2002		Avi J. Ashkenazi	P1150R2C1	1169
9157	7590	11/10/2004		EXAM	INER
GENENTE			NICKOL, GARY B		
1 DNA WAY SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,		1642	7

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/080,455	ASHKENAZI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (awill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	larch 2004.	· -				
· —	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		-				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 62-71 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 71 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 62-70 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Apprintly documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date Irmal Patent Application (PTO-152) Ince listing.				

Art Unit: 1642

Re: Ashkenazi et al.

Date of priority: 11-18-1997

DETAILED ACTION

The response filed on September 10, 2004 to the restriction requirement of March 10, 2004 has been received. Applicant has elected Group II, claims 10, 62-71 for examination with traverse. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP 818.03(a).

Claims 10, 62-71 are pending.

Specification

The specification is objected to under the brief description of Figures 2 and 7 for improper disclosure of amino acid sequences (i.e. TNF-α, APO2L, C995L, hGITR) without respective sequence identifiers, i.e. SEQ ID NOs:. Hence, it appears that the disclosure fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825. In the absence of a sequence identifier for each sequence, Applicant must provide a computer readable form (CRF) copy of the sequence listing, an initial or substitute paper copy of the sequence listing, as well as any amendment directing its entry into the specification, and a statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as

Art Unit: 1642

required by 37 CFR 1.821(e-f) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d). Failure to supply the appropriate sequences identification numbers in response to this action will be considered non-responsive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 67 recites the limitation "the nucleic acid of claim 65 wherein said encoded" in claim 65. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 68-70 are rejected as vague and indefinite for reciting the term GITR in association with binding characteristics as the sole means of identifying the claimed molecule. The use of laboratory designations only to identify a particular molecule renders the claims indefinite because different laboratories may use the same laboratory designations to define completely distinct molecules. The rejection can be obviated by amending the claims to specifically and uniquely identify GITR, for example, by SEQ ID NO. It is noted that the specification teaches that an amino acid sequence for a full length human GITR (hGITR) is shown in SEQ ID NO:4 in PCT WO 98/06842, published Feb. 19, 1998, and that a comparison of the hGITR and mGITR amino acid sequences is shown in FIG. 7. However, applicant has not disclosed that the sequence published as SEQ ID NO:4 in the WO document and the sequence disclosed in Figure 7 are identical. Thus, in the absence of a specific sequence identifier, the term GITR remains vague. See objection to specification above.

Art Unit: 1642

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 62-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yu et al. (US Patent Application No. 2002/0099198, IDS, August 16, 1996).

Yu et al. teach an isolated polypeptide (see attached sequence listing) that is 95.3% identical to the claimed DNA19355 polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1 and or 100% identical to amino acid residues 52-177 of SEQ ID NO:1. Yu et al. further teach that the isolated polypeptide is a novel member of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family of cytokines (abstract). Although the reference does not specifically teach that the polypeptide can bind human GITR receptor or stimulate mammalian T cells to secret TNF-alpha, the claims are drawn to the product per se and inherently would be capable of such functional activities. Thus, the claimed peptide appears to be the same as the prior art. The office does not have the facilities and resources to provide the factual evidence needed in order to establish that the product of the prior art does not possess the same material, structural and functional characteristics of the claimed product. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is on the applicant to prove that the claimed product is different from those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences. See In re Best

Art Unit: 1642

562F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and Ex parte Gray 10 USPQ 2d 1922 (PTO Bd. Pat.

App. & Int. 1989).

Claims 10 and 71 appear allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-5:30; alternate Fri., 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1642

GRN

Jang nitor

GARY NICKOLPRIMARY EXAMINER